



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/654,887	09/05/2003	Valerie De La Poterie	05725.1237-00	6631
22852	7590	07/28/2010		
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER LLP 901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413				
EXAMINER				
VENKAT, JYOTHSNA A				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
1619				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
07/28/2010		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/654,887		DE LA POTERIE ET AL.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	JYOTHSNA A. VENKAT		1619	

All Participants:

(1) JYOTHSNA A. VENKAT.

(2) DEBORAH HERZFELD.

Date of Interview: 14 July 2010

Type of Interview:

☐ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☒ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

as of record

Claims discussed:

as of record

Prior art documents discussed:

as of record

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/JYOTHSNA A VENKAT /

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1619

Status of Application: NAP

(3) CHRITINE HLAVKA.

(4) _____

Time: _____

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: examiner informed the attorneys that claim 103 would be allowable by incorporating the formula (paragraph 034) and also as in claim 114 of co-pending application 10/654,907 over the cited art and prosecution can be advanced by filing an RCE and cancelling composition claims of group I and non-elected claims and submitting terminal disclaimer over co-pending application 10/656201 and also allowed application 11/056239 and also submitting certified translation of foreign priority documents having the filing dates 9/6/02 so that co-pending applications 10/526,674 and 10/526,675 are not prior art . Examiner also informed the attorneys that restriction between groups I, III- V is withdrawn in view of claim 103 being allowable which has formula shown in paragraph [034] of instant specification and election of species is also withdrawn and restriction is maintained between groups II and VI.